	Case 2:20-cr-00213-KJM Document 255	Filed 04/17/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	United States of America,	No. 2:20-cr-00213-KJM
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	V.	
14	Chaloner Saintillus,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	In this closed criminal case, defendant Chaloner Saintillus pleaded guilty about one year	
18	ago. See Mins., ECF No. 214. At the time, he was represented by appointed counsel, David	
19	Fischer. After Saintillus was sentenced, the court granted Fischer's motion to withdraw. See	
20	Mins., ECF No. 248. Saintillus is now proceeding pro se. Fischer recently contacted the court to	
21	relate his concern that the Clerk's Office may have rejected Saintillus's pro se filings based on the	
22	mistaken understanding that Saintillus is currently represented by counsel. In a previous minute	
23	order, the court instructed the Clerk's Office not to file any pro se filings submitted by Saintillus	
24	while he was represented by counsel. ECF No. 199. Now that Fischer has been relieved, that	
25	previous order is no longer applicable: the Clerk's Office should not reject any filings by	
26	Saintillus based on the Minute Order at ECF No. 199.	
27	Although the Clerk's Office will no longer reject Saintillus's pro se filings based on the	
28	court's previous minute order, the court will not permit Saintillus to file many repetitive and	
	1	

## Case 2:20-cr-00213-KJM Document 255 Filed 04/17/24 Page 2 of 2

confusing pro se motions and notices, as he did in the past when he was previously proceeding without an attorney to represent him in this matter. *See, e.g.*, Order (May 25, 2022) at 5–6 & nn.2–3, ECF No. 122 (summarizing these filings). The court cautions Saintillus that if he resumes his previous practice of filing many repetitive motions and notices, the court may again place limits on his ability to add filings to the docket of this action.

Saintillus also now moves for "permission to file a writ of habeas corpus." ECF No. 254. In light of the clarifications and order above, that motion is **denied as moot**. Saintillus may seek post-conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or another relevant law if he so chooses.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 17, 2024.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE